United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

| v. |) Case No. 2:20-mj-00068-KJN | |
|---|--|--|
| CHRISTOPHER GUDE |) Case 100. 2.20-iiij-00000-KJ1V | |
| Defendant |) | |
| ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL | | |
| Part I - Eligibility for Detention | | |
| Upon the | | |
| Motion of the Government attorney pursuant Motion of the Government or Court's own n | notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), | |
| and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), i | s warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing. | |
| Part II - Findings of Fact and Law | as to Presumptions under § 3142(e) | |
| and the community because the following conditions h (1) the defendant is charged with one of the foll (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum te (b) an offense for which the maximum ser (c) an offense for which a maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 3 (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of (d) any felony if such person has been cor (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two of | tions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ave been met: lowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or intence is life imprisonment or death; or of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or invicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal | |
| (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a | | |
| (2) the defendant has previously been convicted § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that we to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and | d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise | |
| | e for which the defendant has been convicted was bending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> | |
| (4) a period of not more than five years has elap | osed since the date of conviction, or the release of the lescribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later. | |

Case 2:18-cr-00664-JS Document 60-1 Filed 06/03/20 Page 2 of 3 PageID #: 177

Case 2:20-mj-00068-KJN Document 4 Filed 04/16/20 Page 2 of 3 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

| B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a | |
|---|--------|
| rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of | the |
| defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant | |
| committed one or more of the following offenses: | |
| (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the | |
| Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (2 | 21 |
| U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); | |
| (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; | |
| (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 y | ears |
| or more is prescribed; | |
| (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum ter | m of |
| imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or | |
| (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, | |
| 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4) | , |
| 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425. | |
| C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above | |
| e. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any I resumption Established Above | |
| The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is | |
| ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.) | |
| OR | |
| The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the | |
| presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted. | |
| presumption and the other ractors discussed below, detention is warranted. | |
| | |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention | |
| · | ıring. |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: | ıring, |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hea | ıring, |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hea | |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: | |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonably assurable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of the condition of conditions of release will reasonable to the condition of conditions of | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse x Lack of stable employment | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse x Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence | ure |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: x By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assist the safety of any other person and the community. x By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse x Lack of stable employment | ure |

Case 2:20-mj-00068-KJN Document 4 Filed 04/16/20 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

| Significant family or other ties outside the United States |
|---|
| Lack of legal status in the United States |
| Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration |
| Prior failure to appear in court as ordered |
| Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement |
| Use of alias(es) or false documents |
| Background information unknown or unverified |
| Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release |

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: Charged with murder.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: April 16, 2020

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE